

Reproductive Technologies & Justice: a dis/ability-queer-feminist ethics

Doris Leibetseder, IAS-STF Fellow, TU Graz and AAU-Klagenfurt & BBRG Scholar, UC Berkeley

doris.leibetseder@aau.at or doris.leibet@berkeley.edu

This paper contributes to the conference theme on *Social Change in Science and Technology* with a focus on biological reproduction and Assisted Reproductive (Genetic) Technologies. It fits in session 13: Queer Feminist Science, Technology and Society Studies.

Situated in the field of Gender Studies and Philosophy I create an allied queer-feminist ethics in my new project based on issues of biological reproduction with examples of dis/abled, transgender and intersex. I look at Assisted Reproductive (Genetic) Technologies (AR(G)T) and how they raise challenges for dis/abled, transgender and intersex people. My focus lies on the ways in which these technologies confront queer and dis/abled people with normative expectations concerning their biological sex, gender, sexuality, kinship and their right to procreate.

My aim is

- to include non-pathologizing transgender, intersex and critical dis/ability issues in a queer-feminist ethics.
- find crucial ideas, challenges and further developments for a dis/ability queer-feminist ethics dealing with biological reproduction.
- with queer-feminist methods such as affirmative reading and diffraction, I develop an applied ethics in the Foucauldian sense of a postmoral ethics.

Questions are

- What principles are now relevant for reproductive justice in the wake of a paradigmatic shift, which conceptualizes the biological sex as constructed, and new bio-technological developments?
- What possibilities of intra-actions (according to Karan Barad's agential realism: 'mutually transformative interplays') concerning biological reproduction exist for transgender, intersex and dis/abled people and feminists?
- What new considerations arise for an ethics dealing with AR(G)T and these intra-actions?